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UNIFIED TREATMENT OF SOME INEQUALITIES
AMONG RATIOS OF MEANS

by

Emad El-Neweihi and Frank Proschan

ABSTRACT

Using majorization and Schur-functions, Marshall, Olkin, and Proschan obtained a result concerning monotonicity of the ratio of means. This note shows that a slight extension of their result provides a unified method for obtaining and extending inequalities between means due to Chan, Goldberg, and Gonek, as well as deriving additional inequalities of the same type.
1. Introduction. Chan, Goldberg, and Gonek [1] show that:

\[
\left[ \frac{x^p + y^p}{(1 - x)^p + (1 - y)^p} \right]^{1/p} < \left[ \frac{x^q + y^q}{(1 - x)^q + (1 - y)^q} \right]^{1/q},
\]

where \(0 \leq x < y, x + y < 1,\) and \(p < q;\) and

\[
\left[ \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-p}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i)^{-p}} \right]^{-1/p} \leq \left[ \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-q}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i)^{-q}} \right]^{-1/q}
\]

where \(0 \leq x_1 \leq 1/2\) and \(p > 0.\) Strict inequality holds in (2) unless \(x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_n.\)

Earlier, Marshall, Olkin, and Proschan [2] showed:

\[
\left[ \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i a_i^r}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i b_i^r} \right]^{1/r}
\]

is increasing in \(r,\)

where \(a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \ldots \geq a_n > 0, b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \ldots \geq b_n > 0, \frac{b_1}{a_1} \leq \frac{b_2}{a_2} \leq \ldots \leq \frac{b_n}{a_n},\) and \(\lambda_i > 0, i = 1, \ldots, n,\) \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1.\)

Result (3) was obtained using majorization and Schur-functions (for definitions see [2]).

The main purposes of this note are to show that using (3), (a) inequalities (1) and (2) can be proved in a unified way, (b) (1) and (2) can be extended, and (c) additional inequalities of a similar type can be obtained.
2. **Main Results.** Before we state and prove the main results, we present several remarks:

**Remark 2.1.** It is easy to verify that (3) holds even if certain of the \( a_i \)'s are equal to zero.

**Remark 2.2.** Careful inspection of the proof of (3) shows that in certain cases the ratio in (3) is strictly increasing in \( r \).

We may now prove:

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( 0 \leq x < y, \ x + y < 1, \ 0 < \lambda < 1, \) and \( p < q \). Then

\[
\left( \frac{\lambda x^p + (1 - \lambda) y^p}{\lambda (1 - x)^p + (1 - \lambda) (1 - y)^p} \right)^{1/p} < \left( \frac{\lambda x^q + (1 - \lambda) y^q}{\lambda (1 - x)^q + (1 - \lambda) (1 - y)^q} \right)^{1/q}.
\]

**Proof.** Clearly \( (1 - x)x < (1 - y)y \). Let \( a_1 \equiv y, \ a_2 \equiv x, \ b_1 \equiv 1 - x, \) and \( b_2 \equiv 1 - y \). Inequality (4) follows from (3) by Remark 2.2.

Setting \( \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \) in (4) we get (1) as a special case.

The same technique yields an extension of Inequality (2):

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( 0 \leq x_i \leq \frac{1}{2}, \ i = 1, \ldots, n, \ p > 0, \lambda_i \geq 0, \ i = 1, \ldots, n, \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1 \). Then

\[
\left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i^{-p}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i (1 - x_i)^{-p}} \right)^{-1/p} < \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i^{-p}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i (1 - x_i)^{-p}} \right)^{1/p}
\]

unless \( x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_n \).
Proof. Let $x^{[1]}_1 \geq x^{[2]}_2 \geq \ldots \geq x^{[n]}_n$ denote the decreasing rearrangement of $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ from now on. Let $a_i = x^{[i]}_i$, $b_i = (1 - x^{[i]}_i)^{-1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Since $-p < q$ and $(1 - x^{[j]}_i) a_i^{-1} b_i \leq (1 - x^{[j]}_i)^{-1} x^{[i]}_i$ for $i < j$, we have by (3):

$$
\left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-p}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i)^p} \right]^{1/p} \leq \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^q}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i)^q} \right]^{1/q} \leq \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^q}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i)^q} \right]^{1/q}
$$

unless $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_n$ (see Remark 2.2). The desired result follows from (6). ||

Note that (2) is a special case of (5) by setting $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{n}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Finally, Theorem 2.5 below yields an inequality similar to (1) and (2).

This illustrates that majorization and Schur-functions can be used to generate through (3) a host of inequalities similar to (1) and (2).

Theorem 2.5. Let $x_i \geq 0$, $\lambda_i > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1$, and $p < q$.

Then:

$$
\left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i^p}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i)^p} \right]^{1/p} \leq \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i^q}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i)^q} \right]^{1/q}
$$

Strict inequality holds in (7) unless $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_n$.

Proof. Let $a_i = x^{[i]}_i$ and $b_i = 1 + x^{[i]}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Since $\frac{1 + x}{x}$ is decreasing, we apply (3) to get the desired result. By Remark 2.2, strict inequality holds in (7) unless $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_n$. ||
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