Debdeep Pati Florida State University

October 18, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Gaussian process: why flexible

Realizations of a GP

$$\{g:g(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{K}w_kC(x,x_k),(x_1,\ldots,x_k)\subset\mathbb{X},k\in\mathbb{N},w_k\in\mathbb{R}\}$$

▶ Heuristics: We want to approximate an arbitrary function $f_0 : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{R}$. Setting $c(x, x') = \phi_{\sigma}(x - x')$, $w_k = f_0(x_k)$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \phi_\sigma(x-x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_0(x_k) \phi_\sigma(x-x_k) \approx \phi_\sigma \star f_0 \to f_0 \text{ as } \sigma \to 0.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

▶ The RKHS III is the completion of the linear space

$$f(t) = \sum_{h=1}^m a_h C(s_h, t), s_h \in [0, 1], a_h \in \mathbb{R}$$

• Illustration with the squared exponential kernel $C(s, t) = \exp(-\kappa |s - t|^2)$



▶ The RKHS III is the completion of the linear space

$$f(t) = \sum_{h=1}^m a_h C(s_h, t), s_h \in [0, 1], a_h \in \mathbb{R}$$

• Illustration with the squared exponential kernel $C(s, t) = \exp(-\kappa |s - t|^2)$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ ̄豆 _ のへで

Why scaling works

- A or κ plays the role of an inverse-bandwidth
- Large A implies more peaked kernels
- Stretching the sample paths



Why scaling works

- A or κ plays the role of an inverse-bandwidth
- Large A enables approximation of rougher functions from the RKHS



▶ van der Vaart & van Zanten (2008): If A^D ~ gamma(a, b), optimal rate of convergence adaptively over C^α[0, 1]^D for any α > 0

Theory for Gaussian random element

- Want mechanism to produce random (continuous) functions.
- A random vector X : (Ω, E, P) → ℜ^k is Gaussian if a^TX is Gaussian for any a ∈ ℜ^k
- ▶ Let $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{E}, P) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}[0, 1], || \cdot ||_{\infty})$ be measurable
- X is called <u>Gaussian</u> if L(X) is Gaussian for any linear functional L
- ► For example, L(f) = f(1/2), L(f) = 2f(1/3) f(3/4), ...
- Clearly, for any (t_1, \ldots, t_m) , $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i X(t_i)$ is Gaussian for any $a \in \Re^m$

• $(X_{t_1}, \ldots, X_{t_m})$ is MVN

- Specify a joint Gaussian for $(X_{t_1}, \ldots, X_{t_m})$ consistently
- Let C(t, s) be a positive definite covariance kernel, i.e., $\mathbf{C} = (C(t_i, t_j))$ is positive definite for any t_1, \ldots, t_m
- $(X_{t_1},\ldots,X_{t_m}) \sim N(0,\mathbf{C})$, so that $C(s,t) = \operatorname{cov}(X_s,X_t)$
- Common examples: $C(t,s) = \min(t,s)$, $C(t,s) = \exp(-\kappa |t-s|)$, $C(t,s) = \exp(-\kappa |t-s|^2)$ etc

Series expansion approach

Mercer's theorem: There exists a sequence of eigenvalues λ_h ↓ 0 and an orthonormal system of eigenfunctions φ_h, such that

$$C(s,t) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \lambda_h \phi_h(s) \phi_h(t)$$

- Define $\tilde{X}(t) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \lambda_h^{1/2} Z_h \phi_h(t)$, where Z_h i.i.d. N(0,1)
- $\operatorname{cov}(\tilde{X}_s, \tilde{X}_t) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \lambda_h \phi_h(s) \phi_h(t) = C(s, t)$
- We can start with a series representation by choosing λ_h and φ_h. Different choices lead to splines, neural networks, wavelets, etc

- In np Bayes, want priors to place positive probability around arbitrary neighborhoods of a large class of parameter values (large support property)
- The prior concentration plays a key role in determining the rate of posterior contraction
- The reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) of a Gaussian process determines the prior support and concentration

 Intuitively, a space of functions that are similar to the covariance kernel in terms of smoothness

- Let X be a zero mean Gaussian process on ([0, 1], ||∞) with covariance kernel C(s, t) = E(X_sX_t)
- ► The RKHS II is the completion of the linear space

$$f(t)=\sum_{h=1}^m a_h C(s_h,t), \, s_h\in [0,1], \, a_h\in \Re.$$

under the norm induced by the inner product,

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i C(s_i, \cdot), \sum_{j=1}^{l} \beta_j C(t_j, \cdot) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_i \beta_j C(s_i, t_j)$$

► The completion can be identified with a set of functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Re$ though the reproducing formula, $f(t) = \langle f, C(t, \cdot) \rangle$