

Question 1. (20 pts.) Stock Prices, Y, are assumed to be affected by the annual rate of dividend of stock, X. A simple linear regression analysis was performed on 20 observations and the results obtained is

Independent Regression Standard T-Value Prob

Variable Coefficient Error (Ho: B=0) Level

Variable	Coefficient	Error	T-Value	Prob
INTERCEPT	-7.964633	3.11101359	-2.560	0.0166
X1	12.548580	1.27081204	9.874	0.0001

Circle the correct answer.

- What statistical conclusion should you make about the effect of the dividend on average stock price?
 - Since 11.30869 > table value, reject the null hypothesis.
 - Since 12.54858 > table value, reject the null hypothesis.
 - Since 9.874 < table value, reject the null hypothesis.
 - Since 9.874 > table value, reject the null hypothesis.
 - Since 0.7895 < table value, fail to reject the null hypothesis.
- What is the 95% confidence interval for a value of Y given an X value of 2.36? You are given the standard error of this estimate is 3.351. I am 95% confident that
 - the stock price for a stock with a dividend rate of 2.36% falls between 14.61 and 28.69.
 - the mean stock price for all stocks with a dividend rate of 2.36 % falls between 14.61 and 28.69.
 - the variance in stock price for all stocks falls between 14.61 and 28.69.
 - the dividend rate for all stocks falls between 14.61 and 28.69.
 - for each one point increase in dividend rate, the stock price will increase from 14.61 and 28.69
- Which one of the following assumptions is incorrectly stated?
 - The stock price is normally distributed for any dividend rate.
 - The stock price has the same variability for any dividend rate.
 - The stock price for any dividend rate is a linear function of dividend rate.
 - The difference between the stock price and the expected stock price given the dividend rate is independent from company to company.
- The interpretation of 0.7895, the value of R-square is
 - 78.95% of the sample stock prices (around the mean stock price) can be attributed to a linear relationship with the dividend rate in the population.
 - the mean stock price will be estimated to increase 97.50 for each point increase in the rate.
 - the mean stock price will be increase 78.95 for each point increase in the rate.
 - the stock price will increase 78.95 for each point increase in the rate.
 - 78.95% of the sample variability in stock price (around the mean stock price) can be attributed to a linear relationship with the dividend rate.
- What is the estimate of the change in expected stock prices when the dividend rate increases by one point?
 - 97.50
 - 7.964633
 - This is a parameter not a statistic.
 - 12.54858
 - 5.36546

(The mean stock price falls on the line)

2 (Explanation)

- The estimate of the standard deviation of $\hat{\beta}$ is:
 - 3.36284
 - 3.14983
 - 0.39274
 - 12.54858
 - 1.27081

95% Confidence interval of mean stock price at dividend 2.36 =
 mean stock price \pm standard error $\times t_{n-2, 0.975}$

$$= (-7.964 + 12.54 \times 2.36) \pm 3.351 \times 2.10$$

$$= [14.61, 28.69]$$

Question 2. (20 pts.) A study was conducted among a group of people who underwent coronary angiography. A group of 1493 people with coronary-artery disease were identified and were compared with a group of 707 people without the disease (controls). Risk factor information was collected on each group. Among cases, the mean serum cholesterol was 234.8 mg/dL with standard deviation = 47.3 mg/dL. Among controls, the mean serum cholesterol was 215.5 mg/dL with standard deviation = 47.3 mg/dL. What test is appropriate to determine whether the true mean serum cholesterol is different between the two groups?

Let x_i be the serum cholesterol for the i th case and y_j be the serum cholesterol level for the j th control.

$$x_i \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2), \quad y_j \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2).$$

We wish to test $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$ vs $H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$.

Since $s_1 = s_2$, we will assume equal variances

i.e., $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$. Then we use two-sample t -test for independent samples with equal variances

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{s^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}} = \frac{234.8 - 215.5}{\sqrt{47.3^2 \left(\frac{1}{1493} + \frac{1}{707} \right)}} = \frac{19.3}{2.159} = 8.94 \sim t_{1493 + 707 - 2} = t_{2198}$$

Since $t > t_{1,20, 0.9995} = 3.373 > t_{2198, 0.9995}$

it follows that $p\text{-value} < 2 \times (1 - 0.9995) = 0.001$

Question 3. (20 pts.) Much controversy has risen concerning the possible association between myocardial infarction (MI) and coffee drinking. Suppose the information in Table 1 on coffee drinking and prior MI status is obtained from 200 60-64-year old males in the general population.

Table 1: MI and coffee drinking

Coffee drinking (cups/day)	MI in last 5 years	Number of people
0	Yes	3
0	No	57
1	Yes	7
1	No	43
2	Yes	8
2	No	42
3 or more	Yes	12
3 or more	No	28

Test for the association between history of MI and coffee drinking status, which is categorized as follows: 0 cups, 1 or more cups.

H_0 : association
 H_1 : not association

Construct the following table

		Coff				
		0	1+	2	3+	
MI	Yes	3	27	X		30
	No	57	113			170
		60	140			

Use chisquare test for 2×2 table

Since all expected value > 5 (smallest

$$\text{expected value} = \frac{60 \times 30}{200} = 9$$

$$\chi^2 = \frac{n \left(|ad - bc| - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2}{(a+b)(c+d)(a+c)(b+d)} = \frac{200 \left(|3 \times 113 - 57 \times 27| - \frac{200}{2} \right)^2}{30 \times 170 \times 60 \times 140} = 5.65$$

$$\chi^2_{1, 0.975} = 5.02 \quad \text{Reject at } 5\%$$