

Problem 1

Let n items be drawn in order without replacement from a shipment of N items of which $N\theta$ are bad. Let $X_i = 1$ if the i^{th} item drawn is bad, and $=0$ otherwise.

Show that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for θ directly and by the factorization theorem.

Solution:

Since $P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{t}}$, where $X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_i \text{ is bad} \\ 0 & \text{if } X_i \text{ is good} \end{cases}$;

, which is free of θ , then $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistics of θ

On the other hand, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is in a hyper-geometric distribution, so

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t \mid \theta)$$

$$= \frac{\binom{N\theta}{t} \binom{N-N\theta}{n-t}}{\binom{N}{n}}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) \\ &= P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t) \\ &= P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t) P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{t}} \frac{\binom{N\theta}{t} \binom{N-N\theta}{n-t}}{\binom{N}{n}} \\ &= g(T(\underline{x}), \theta) h(\underline{x}) \\ & \text{, where } g(T(\underline{x}), \theta) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{t}} \frac{\binom{N\theta}{t} \binom{N-N\theta}{n-t}}{\binom{N}{n}}, \text{ and } h(\underline{x}) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, by factorization theorem, $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistics of θ .

Problem 2

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n is a sample from a population with one of the following densities.

- a). $p(x, \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}, 0 < x < 1, \theta > 0$. This is beta, $\beta(\theta, 1)$, density.
- b). $p(x, \theta) = \theta a x^{a-1} \exp(-\theta x^a), x > 1, \theta > 0, a > 0$. This is known as the *Weibull* Density.
- c). $p(x, \theta) = \theta a^\theta / x^{(\theta+1)}, x > a, \theta > 0, a > 0$. This is known as the *Parto* density.

In all cases, find the real-value sufficient statistic for θ and a fixed.

Solution:

a) Since

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n \mid \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i = x_i \mid \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta x_i^{\theta-1} I(0 < x_i < 1) \\ &= \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\theta-1} I(0 < x_i < 1) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$T(\underline{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$$

, then

$$P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \theta) = g(T(\underline{x}) | \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

, where

$$g(T(\underline{x}) | \theta) = \theta^n T(\underline{x})^{\theta-1}$$

, and

$$h(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n I(0 < x_i < 1)$$

Then by factorization theorem, $T(\underline{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for θ .

b) Since

$$\begin{aligned} & P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta a x_i^{a-1} \exp(-\theta x_i^a) I(x_i > 0) \\ &= \theta^n a^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{a-1} \exp(-\theta \sum x_i^a) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i > 0) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$T(\underline{X}) = \sum X_i^a$$

, then

$$P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \theta) = g(T(\underline{x}) | \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

, where

$$g(T(\underline{x}) | \theta) = \theta^n a^n \exp(-\theta T(\underline{x}))$$

, and

$$h(\underline{x}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{a-1} \prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i > 1).$$

Then by factorization theorem, $T(\underline{X}) = \sum X_i^a$ is sufficient for θ .

c).

$$\begin{aligned} & P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta a^\theta / x_i^{(\theta+1)} I(x_i > a) \\ &= \frac{\theta^n a^{n\theta}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\theta+1}} \prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i > a) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$T(\underline{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$$

, then

$$P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \theta) = g(T(\underline{x}) | \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

, where

$$g(T(\underline{x})|\theta) = \frac{\theta^n a^{n\theta}}{T(\underline{x})^{\theta+1}}$$

, and

$$h(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i > a).$$

Then by factorization theorem, $T(\underline{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for θ .

Problem 3

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a sample from a population with density

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \begin{cases} a(\theta)h(x) & \text{if } \theta_1 \leq x \leq \theta_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

, where $h(x) \geq 0, \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$ with $-\infty < \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 < \infty$, and $a(\theta) = \left[\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} h(x) dx \right]^{-1}$ is assumed to exist. Find a two-dimensional sufficient statistic for this problem and apply your results to the $u[\theta_1, \theta_2]$ family of distributions.

Solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\theta}(\underline{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n a(\theta) h(x_i) I(\theta_1 \leq x_i \leq \theta_2) \\ &= a(\theta)^n \prod_{i=1}^n h(x_i) I(\theta_1 \leq x_i \leq \theta_2) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$T(\underline{X}) = (T_1(\underline{X}), T_2(\underline{X})) = \left(\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i, \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \right) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$$

, then

$$f_{\theta}(\underline{x}) = g(T(\underline{x})) h(\underline{x})$$

, where

$$g(T(\underline{x})) = a(\theta)^n I(\theta_1 \leq T_1(\underline{x}) \leq \theta_2) I(\theta_1 \leq T_2(\underline{x}) \leq \theta_2)$$

, and

$$h(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n h(x_i).$$

Then by factorization theorem,

$$T(\underline{X}) = (T_1(\underline{X}), T_2(\underline{X})) = \left(\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i, \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \right) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$$

is sufficient statistics for $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$.

Especially for $u[\theta_1, \theta_2]$,

$$a(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}$$

, and

$$h(x) = 1.$$

So

$$T(\underline{X}) = (T_1(\underline{X}), T_2(\underline{X})) = \left(\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i, \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \right) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$$

is sufficient statistics for $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$.

Problem 4

Let $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$ be a bivariate parameter. Suppose that $T_1(X)$ is sufficient for θ_1 whenever θ_2 is fixed and known, whereas $T_2(X)$ is sufficient for θ_2 whenever θ_1 is fixed and known.

Assume that θ_1, θ_2 vary independently, $\theta_1 \in \Theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta_2$ and that the set $S = \{x : p(x, \theta) > 0\}$ does not depend on θ .

(a) Show that if T_1 and T_2 do not depend on θ_2 and θ_1 respectively, then $(T_1(X), T_2(X))$ is sufficient for θ .

(b) Exhibit an example in which $(T_1(X), T_2(X))$ is sufficient for θ , $T_1(X)$ is sufficient for θ_1 whenever θ_2 is fixed and known, but $T_2(X)$ is not sufficient for θ_2 , when θ_1 is fixed and known.

Solution:

(a)

Since $T_1(X)$ is sufficient for θ_1 whenever θ_2 is fixed, there should exist

$$\begin{aligned} f(X | \theta_1, \theta_2) \\ = g_1(T_1(X), \theta_1, \theta_2) h_0(X, \theta_2) \end{aligned}$$

And also since $T_2(X)$ is sufficient for θ_2 whenever θ_1 is fixed, then

$$h_0(X, \theta_2) = h_1(T_2(X), \theta_2) h(X)$$

And also since $T_1(X)$ does not depend on θ_2 , then

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(T_1(X), \theta_1, \theta_2) \\ = \tilde{g}(T_1(X), \theta_1) \tilde{h}(\theta_1, \theta_2) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} f(X | \theta_1, \theta_2) \\ = \tilde{g}(T_1(X), T_2(X), \theta_1, \theta_2) h(X) \end{aligned}$$

, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(T_1(X), T_2(X), \theta_1, \theta_2) \\ = \tilde{g}(T_1(X), \theta_1) \tilde{h}(\theta_1, \theta_2) h_1(T_2(X), \theta_2) \end{aligned}$$

Then, by factorization theorem, $T(X) = (T_1(X), T_2(X))$ is sufficient statistics for $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$.

(b) See bivariate normal distribution

$$f(x | \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), -\infty < \mu < \infty, \sigma > 0.$$

It is not difficult to prove that $T(X) = (T_1(X), T_2(X)) = (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)$ is sufficient statistics for (μ, σ^2) .

Further, when σ^2 is fixed, $T_1(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient statistics for μ .

But when μ is fixed, $T_2(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is not sufficient statistics for σ^2 .

Problem 5

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m ; Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n , be independently distributed according to $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\eta, \tau^2)$, respectively. Find minimal sufficient statistics for the following three cases:

- (i) μ, η, σ, τ are arbitrary: $-\infty < \mu, \eta < \infty, 0 < \sigma, \tau$.
- (ii) $\sigma = \tau$ and μ, η, σ are arbitrary.
- (iii) $\mu = \eta$ and μ, σ, τ are arbitrary.

Solution:

Since $X_1, \dots, X_m \sim iidN(\mu, \sigma^2)$, $N(\eta, \tau^2)$, and X_1, \dots, X_m & Y_1, \dots, Y_n are all independent, then

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}} (\tau^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2}{2\tau^2}\right\} \\
&= (2\pi)^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{m\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{n\mu^2}{2\tau^2}\right\} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i - \frac{1}{2\tau^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + \frac{\mu}{\tau^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

(i)

Let $\tilde{\eta} = \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, -\frac{1}{2\tau^2}, \frac{\eta}{\tau^2}\right)'$, and $T(\underline{x}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)'$, then by the property of exponential family, $T(\underline{x}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)'$ is the natural sufficient statistics for $\tilde{\eta} = \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, -\frac{1}{2\tau^2}, \frac{\eta}{\tau^2}\right)'$.

Since $-\infty < \mu, \eta < \infty$ and $\sigma, \tau > 0$, then $0 < \frac{1}{\sigma^2}, \frac{1}{\tau^2} < \infty$, and $-\infty < \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \frac{\eta}{\tau^2} < \infty$, and further $-\infty < -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, -\frac{1}{2\tau^2} < 0$. We can see that the natural parameter space Σ of η contains open rectangles, which implies that $T(\underline{x}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)'$ is also minimal sufficient.

(ii)

If $\sigma = \tau$, then $\tilde{\eta} = \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \frac{\eta}{\sigma^2}\right)'$. Let $T(\underline{x}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)'$, then by the property of exponential family, $T(\underline{x}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)'$ is the natural sufficient statistics for $\tilde{\eta} = \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \frac{\eta}{\sigma^2}\right)'$.

Since $-\infty < \mu, \eta < \infty$ and $\sigma > 0$, then $0 < \frac{1}{\sigma^2} < \infty$, and $-\infty < \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \frac{\eta}{\sigma^2} < \infty$, and further $-\infty < -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} < 0$. In this case the natural parameter space Σ of η contains open rectangles, which implies that $T(\underline{x}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)'$ is also minimal sufficient.

(iii)

If $\mu = \eta$, then $\tilde{\eta} = \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, -\frac{1}{2\tau^2}, \frac{\mu}{\tau^2}\right)'$. Let $T(\underline{x}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)'$, then by the property of exponential family, $T(\underline{x}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)'$ is the natural sufficient statistics for $\tilde{\eta} = \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, -\frac{1}{2\tau^2}, \frac{\mu}{\tau^2}\right)'$.

Without loss of generality, for $\underline{x}_1 = (x_{11}, \dots, x_{1m}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1n})'$ and $\underline{x}_2 = (x_{21}, \dots, x_{2m}, y_{21}, \dots, y_{2n})'$, assume $\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i}^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}^2$, $\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i}^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}^2$, $\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}$.

Now suppose $\exists k(x_1, x_2) > 0$ s.t.

$$f(x_1 | \tilde{\eta}) = f(x_2 | \tilde{\eta})k(x_1, x_2)$$

$$\text{hold for } \forall \tilde{\eta} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}, \frac{1}{\tau^2}, \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \frac{\mu}{\tau^2} \right)$$

, then

$$\frac{f(x_1 | \tilde{\eta})}{f(x_2 | \tilde{\eta})} = \exp \left(- \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}^2}{2\tau^2} + \frac{\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i} - \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i} \right)}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i} - \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i} \right)}{\tau^2} \right)$$

$$\text{Let } \mu=0, \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}^2}, \text{ then}$$

$$\frac{f(x_1 | \tilde{\eta})}{f(x_2 | \tilde{\eta})} = \exp \left(- \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}^2 \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) \right) = k(x, y)$$

holds for $\forall \tau^2 > 0$. This requires $\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}^2$.

$$\text{Similarly, let } \mu=0, \tau^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}^2}, \text{ then}$$

$$\frac{f(x_1 | \tilde{\eta})}{f(x_2 | \tilde{\eta})} = \exp \left(- \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}^2 \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) \right) = k(x, y)$$

holds for $\forall \sigma^2 > 0$. This requires $\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}^2$.

Further, known that $\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}^2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}^2$

$$\text{, let } \mu=1, \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i} - \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}}{\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i} - \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}}, \text{ then}$$

$$\frac{f(x_1 | \tilde{\eta})}{f(x_2 | \tilde{\eta})} = \exp \left(- \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i} - \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) \right) = k(x, y)$$

holds for $\forall \tau^2 > 0$. This requires $\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}$.

$$\text{Similarly, let } \mu=1, \tau^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i} - \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i} - \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}}, \text{ then}$$

$$\frac{f(\underline{x}_1 | \tilde{\eta})}{f(\underline{x}_2 | \tilde{\eta})} = \exp\left(-\left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i} - \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)\right) = k(x, y)$$

holds for $\forall \sigma^2 > 0$. This requires $\sum_{i=1}^m x_{1i} = \sum_{i=1}^m x_{2i}$.

From above, we see that $T(\underline{x}_1) = T(\underline{x}_2)$, and thus $T = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_1(X_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n T_k(X_i)\right)$ is minimal sufficient.