Jaime Frade ECO5282-Dr. Garriga Homework #3

- 1. Consider and economy with a representative consumer with preferences described by  $E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$  where  $u(c_t) = \ln(c_t + \gamma)$  where  $\gamma \ge 0$  and  $c_t$  denotes consumption of the fruit in period t. The sole source of the single good is an everlasting tree that produces  $d_t$  units of the consumption good in period t. The dividend process  $d_t$  is Markov, with  $prob\{d_{t+1} \le d' | d_t = d\} = F(d', d)$ . Assume the conditional density f(d', d) of F exists. There are competitive markets in the title of trees and in state-contingent claims. Let  $p_t$  be the price at t of a title to all future dividends from the tree.
  - (a) Prove that the equilibrium prices  $p_t$  satisfies

$$p_t = (d_t + \gamma) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^t E_t \left( \frac{d_{i+j}}{d_{i+j} + \gamma} \right)$$
(1)

For a given household, the comsumer solves

$$\max E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \tag{2}$$

Subject to the following budget constraint

$$A_{t+1} = R_t (A_t + y_t - c_t)$$
(3)

From (3),

$\Longrightarrow$	consumption of an agent at time $t$
$\implies$	agent's labor income
$\Longrightarrow$	amount of a single asset valued in the units of the
	consumption good held at start of time $t$
	(Note: $A_0$ is given)
$\implies$	real gross rate of return on asset between time $t$ and $t + 1$
	$\begin{array}{c} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow \\ \end{array}$

By using the Legrange multipliers, combining the first order conditions in (2) we obtain the **Euler equa**tion that is necessary condition of optimality for t = 0, 1, ...

$$u'(c_t) = E_t \beta R_t u'(c_{t+1}) \tag{4}$$

However (4) does not applicable to models with complete general equilibrium. In the Lucas's asset-pricing model, general equilibrium is used. This model assumes that the labor income is zero,  $y_t = 0, \forall t$ . It also assumes that the only durable good in the economy is a set of trees, (which equal the number of people in economy). The tree also yields fruit (dividends) in the amount of  $d_t$  to its owner ar the beginning of time t. This fruit is also nonstorable. Each consumer starts a t = 0 with, one tree and initial dividend of good, (fruit).

Let 
$$p_t \implies$$
 price of a tree at time  $t$   
 $R_t = \frac{p_{t+1} + d_{t+1}}{p_t}$ 
(5)

Using (5) subsitute into (4), will obtain the following:

$$1 = E_t \beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \left(\frac{p_{t+1} + d_{t+1}}{p_t}\right)$$
(6)

In this economy, equilibrium must exist because all consumers are identical, the utility function is strictly increasing, and there is only one place for goods, period's dividends. Therefore obtain:

$$c_t = d_t \tag{7}$$

Substituting (7) into (6) and solve for  $p_t$ , will obtain the following:

$$p_t = E_t \beta \frac{u'(d_{t+1})}{u'(d_t)} \left( p_{t+1} + d_{t+1} \right)$$
(8)

Given  $u(c_t) = \ln(c_t + \gamma)$  where  $\gamma \ge 0$ , and using (7) and differentiating to plug into (8) will obtain:

$$p_t = E_t \beta \frac{(d_t + \gamma)}{(d_{t+1} + \gamma)} \left( \underbrace{p_{t+1}}_{\Uparrow} + d_{t+1} \right)$$
(9)

Using recursions on (9) for the value  $p_{t+1}$ , will obtain:

$$p_{t} = E_{t} \left[ \beta \frac{(d_{t} + \gamma)}{(d_{t+1} + \gamma)} \left( d_{t+1} + \beta \frac{(d_{t+1} + \gamma)}{(d_{t+2} + \gamma)} (p_{t+2} + d_{t+2}) \right) \right]_{=p_{t+1}} \right]$$

$$p_{t} = E_{t} \left[ \beta \frac{(d_{t} + \gamma)}{(d_{t+1} + \gamma)} \left( d_{t+1} + \beta \frac{(d_{t+1} + \gamma)}{(d_{t+2} + \gamma)} \left( d_{t+2} + \beta \frac{(d_{t+2} + \gamma)}{(d_{t+3} + \gamma)} (d_{t+3} + p_{t+3}) \right) \right) \right]$$

$$p_t = E_t \left[ \beta \frac{(d_t + \gamma)}{(d_{t+1} + \gamma)} d_{t+1} + \beta^2 \frac{(d_t + \gamma)}{(d_{t+2} + \gamma)} d_{t+2} + \beta^3 \frac{(d_t + \gamma)}{(d_{t+3} + \gamma)} d_{t+3} + \cdots \right]$$

From above equation, can see a pattern forming, obtain

$$p_t = (d_t + \gamma) E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ \beta^j \left( \frac{d_{t+j}}{d_{t+j} + \gamma} \right) \right] + \lim_{j \to \infty} E_t \left[ \beta^j \left( \frac{d_t + \gamma}{d_{t+j} + \gamma} \right) p_{t+j} \right]$$

As  $j \to \infty \lim \to 0$ , will prove result for pricing equation.

$$p_t = (d_t + \gamma) E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j \left( \frac{d_{t+j}}{d_{t+j} + \gamma} \right)$$
(10)

(b) Modify the Lucas Model to a market that consist of one- and two period perfectly safe loans, which bear gross rates of return  $R_{1t}$  and  $R_{2t}$ .  $R_{1t}^{-1}$  is the price of a perfectly sure claim to one unit of consumption at time (t + 1).  $R_{2t}^{-1}$  is the price of a perfectly sure claim to one unit of consumption at time (t + 2). The agent needs to solve the following maximum

$$\max_{c_t, L_{1t+1}, L_{2t+1}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \, u(c_t) \tag{11}$$

Subject to the following budget constraint, where  $L_{jt}$  is the amount lent for j period at time t.

$$c_t + L_{1t} + L_{2t} \le d_t + R_{1t-1}L_{1t-1} + R_{2t-2}L_{2t-2}$$
(12)

Solving (11), using Legrange Multiplier, where  $\{\lambda_t\}$  is a sequence of random Legrange Multipliers. Will obtain the following:

$$L = E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[ u(c_t) + \lambda_t (d_t + L_{1t-1}R_{1t-1} + L_{2t-2}R_{2t-2} - c_t - L_{1t} - L_{2t}) \right]$$
(13)

First Order Conditions (11) with respect to  $\{c_t, L_{1t}, L_{2t}\}$ . Also  $E_0 = E_t$  since the Markov property.

 $[c_t]: \qquad E_0\beta^t(u'(c_t) - \lambda_t) = 0 \qquad \implies \qquad \lambda_t = u'(c_t)$   $[L_{1t}]: \qquad E_0\beta^t(\beta\lambda_{t+1}R_{1t} - \lambda_t) = 0 \qquad \implies \qquad \lambda_t = E_t(\beta\lambda_{t+1}R_{1t})$   $[L_{2t}]: \qquad E_0\beta^t(\beta^2\lambda_{t+2}R_{2t} - \lambda_t) = 0 \qquad \implies \qquad \lambda_t = E_t(\beta^2\lambda_{t+2}R_{2t})$ 

$$[L_{2t}]: \qquad E_0\beta^\iota(\beta^2\lambda_{t+2}R_{2t}-\lambda_t) = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \lambda_t = E_t(\beta^2\lambda_{t+2}R_{2t})$$

Combining the first order conditions above will obtain the following **Euler Equations**:

$$E_t \left[ \beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} R_{1t} \right] = 1 \tag{14}$$

$$E_t \left[ \beta^2 \frac{u'(c_{t+2})}{u'(c_t)} R_{2t} \right] = 1$$
(15)

Given that both assets are riskless, rewrite (14) and (15) as:

$$R_{1t}^{-1} = E_t \left[ \beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \right]$$
(16)

$$R_{2t}^{-1} = E_t \left[ \beta^2 \frac{u'(c_{t+2})}{u'(c_t)} \right]$$
(17)

(i) Find a formula for the risk-free one-period interest rate  $R_{1t}$ .

From (16), using given  $u(c_t) = \ln(c_t + \gamma)$  and (7) in equilibrium, will obtain a formula for th risk-free one-period interest rate  $R_{1t}$ .

$$R_{1t}^{-1} = E_t \left[ \beta \frac{(d_t + \gamma)}{d_{t+1} + \gamma} \right]$$
(18)

(ii) Prove that in the special case in which  $\{d_t\}$  is independently and identically distributed,  $R_{1t}$  is given by  $R_{1t}^{-1} = \beta k (d_t + \gamma)$ , where k is a constant.

If assume that  $\{d_t\}$  is *i.i.d*, then (18) can be rewritten:

$$R_{1t}^{-1} = (d_t + \gamma)\beta E_t \left[ \frac{1}{d_{t+1} + \gamma} \right]$$

$$R_{1t}^{-1} = (d_t + \gamma)\beta E_t \left[ \frac{1}{d_{t+1} + \gamma} \right] \implies \text{Let } k = E_t \frac{1}{d_{t+1} + \gamma}$$

$$R_{1t}^{-1} = (d_t + \gamma)\beta k \qquad (19)$$

(iii) Give a formula for k.

From (19), and assumption that  $\{d_t\}$  is *i.i.d*, obtain a formula for k, which is not a function of time:

$$k = E_t \left[ \frac{1}{d_{t+1} + \gamma} \right] \tag{20}$$

(c) (i) Find a formula for the risk-free two-period interest rate  $R_{2t}$ .

From (17), using given  $u(c_t) = \ln(c_t + \gamma)$  and (7) in equilibrium, will obtain a formula for th risk-free one-period interest rate  $R_{2t}$ .

$$R_{2t}^{-1} = E_t \left[ \beta^2 \frac{(d_t + \gamma)}{d_{t+2} + \gamma} \right]$$

$$\tag{21}$$

(ii) Prove that in the special case in which  $\{d_t\}$  is independently and identically distributed,  $R_{2t}$  is given by  $R_{2t}^{-1} = \beta^2 k (d_t + \gamma)$ , where k is the same constant you found in part (b).

If assume that  $\{d_t\}$  is *i.i.d*, then (18) can be rewritten:

$$R_{2t}^{-1} = (d_t + \gamma)\beta^2 E_t \left[\frac{1}{d_{t+2} + \gamma}\right]$$

$$R_{2t}^{-1} = (d_t + \gamma)\beta^2 E_t \left[\frac{1}{d_{t+2} + \gamma}\right] \implies \text{Let } j = E_t \frac{1}{d_{t+2} + \gamma}$$

$$R_{2t}^{-1} = (d_t + \gamma)\beta^2 j \qquad (22)$$

• Prove that j from (22) is same k from (20). From  $\{d_t\}$  is *i.i.d* and given that the dividend process  $d_t$  is Markov, with  $prob\{d_{t+1} \leq d' | d_t = d\} = F(d', d)$ .

$$j = E_t \left[ \frac{1}{d_{t+2} + \gamma} \right]$$
$$= E_{t+1} \left[ \frac{1}{d_{t+2} + \gamma} \right]$$
$$= k$$

2. Consider the following version of the Lucas's tree economy. There are two kinds of trees. The first kind is ugly and gives no direct utility to consumers, but yields a stream of fruit  $\{d_{1t}\}$ , where  $d_{1t}$  denotes a positive random process obeying a first-order Markov process. The second tree is beautiful and yields utility on itself. This tree also yields a stream of the same kind of fruit  $d_{2t}$ , where it happens that  $d_{2t} = d_{1t} = (\frac{1}{2}) d_t \forall t$ , so that the physical yields of the two kinds of trees are equal. There is one of each tree for each N individuals in the economy. Trees last forever, but the fruit is not storable. Trees are the only source of fruit.

Each of the N individuals in the economy has preferences described by

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t, s_{2t}) \tag{23}$$

where  $u(c_t, s_{2t}) = \ln c_t + \gamma \ln(s_{2t})$  where  $\gamma \ge 0$ ,  $c_t$  denotes consumption of the fruit in period t and  $s_{2t}$  is the stock of beautiful trees owned at the beginning of the period t. The owner of a tree of either kind i at the start of the period receives the fruit  $d_{it}$  produced by the tree during that period.

Let  $p_{it}$  be the price of a tree of type *i* (where i = 1, 2) during period *t*. Let  $R_{it}$  be the gross rate of returns of tree *i* during that period held from period *t* to t + 1.

(a) Write down the consumer optimization problem in sequential and recursive form.

## Consumer optimization problem in sequential form

$$\max_{\{c_t, s_{1t+1}, s_{2t+1}\}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left( \ln(c_t) + \gamma \ln(s_{2t}) \right)$$
(24)

subject to 
$$c_t + p_{1t}s_{1t+1} + p_{2t}s_{2t+1} \le (d_{1t} + p_{1t})s_{1t} + (d_{2t} + p_{2t})s_{2t}.$$
 (25)

## Consumer optimization problem in recursive form

The Bellman's equation is given by

$$v(d_t, s_{1t}, s_{2t}) = \max_{\{c_t, s_{1t+1}, s_{2t+1}\}} \left( \ln(c_t) + \gamma \ln(s_{2t}) + E_t \beta v(d_t, s_{1t+1}, s_{2t+1}) \right)$$
(26)

subject to 
$$c_t + p_{1t}s_{1t+1} + p_{2t}s_{2t+1} \le (d_{1t} + p_{1t})s_{1t} + (d_{2t} + p_{2t})s_{2t}.$$
 (27)

(b) Define a rational expectations equilibrium.

**Definition:** A rational expectations equilibrium is an allocation  $\{\{c_t^i\}_{t=0}^\infty\}_{i=1}^I, \{\{s_{1t}^i, s_{2t}^i\}_{t=0}^\infty\}_{i=1}^I$ , and a price system,  $\{p_{1t}, p_{2t}\}_{t=0}^\infty$ , such it solves each household problem and satisfies the market clear condition.

Definition: For the market clearing condition to exist,

 $\sum_{i=1}^{I} c_{t}^{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} d_{t}^{i}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{I} s_{1t}^{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} s_{10}^{i} = I, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{I} s_{2t}^{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} s_{20}^{i} = I,$ where  $s_{10}^{i}$  and  $s_{20}^{i}$  are each agent's number of trees at initial time.

The household problem is defined sequentially by each agent's utility optimization problem:

$$\max_{\{c_t, s_{1t+1}, s_{2t+1}\}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left( \ln(c_t) + \gamma \ln(s_{2t}) \right)$$
(28)

subject to 
$$c_t + p_{1t}s_{1t+1} + p_{2t}s_{2t+1} \le (d_{1t} + p_{1t})s_{1t} + (d_{2t} + p_{2t})s_{2t}$$
 (29)

(c) Find the pricing functions mapping the state of the ecomony at t unto  $p_{1t}$  and  $p_{2t}$  (give precise formulas). [Hint: You should be able to directly derive  $p_{1t}$  from the example seen in class, then since pricing function have to be linear you can guess a pricing function  $p_{2t} = kd_t$  and solve for k parameter using Euler equation of the second stock.]

From (28), using Legrange multipilers with the given budget constraints, (29), we obtain the following:

$$L = E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left( (\ln(c_t) + \gamma \ln(s_{2t})) + \lambda_t ((d_{1t} + p_{1t})s_{1t} + (d_{2t} + p_{2t})s_{2t} - c_t - p_{1t}s_{1t+1} - p_{2t}s_{2t+1}) \right)$$
(30)

First Order Conditions (30) with respect to  $\{c_t, s_{1t+1}, s_{2t+1}\}$ . Also using  $E_0 = E_t$  since the Markov property.

$$\begin{aligned} [c_t]: & E_0 \,\beta^t \, (\frac{1}{c_t} - \lambda_t) = 0 \implies \frac{1}{c_t} = \lambda_t \\ [s_{1t+1}]: & E_0 \,\beta^t \, (\beta \lambda_{t+1}(d_{1t+1} + p_{1t+1}) - \lambda_t p_{1t}) = 0 \implies p_{1t} = E_t \beta \frac{\lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_t} (d_{1t+1} + p_{1t+1}) \\ [s_{2t+1}]: & E_0 \,\beta^t \, \left( \beta \lambda_{t+1}(d_{2t+1} + p_{2t+1}) - \lambda_t p_{2t} + \beta \frac{\gamma}{s_{2t+1}} \right) = 0 \\ \implies p_{2t} = E_t \beta \frac{\lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_t} (d_{2t+1} + p_{2t+1}) + \beta \frac{\gamma}{s_{2t+1}\lambda_t} \end{aligned}$$

Combining the first order conditions above will obtain the following **pricing formula**:

$$p_{1t} = E_t \beta \frac{c_t}{c_{t+1}} (d_{1t+1} + p_{1t+1})$$
(31)

$$p_{2t} = E_t \beta \frac{c_t}{c_{t+1}} \left( d_{2t+1} + p_{2t+1} \right) + \beta \frac{\gamma c_t}{s_{2t+1}}$$
(32)

In this economy, for general equilibrium to exist:

$$c_t = d_t \tag{33}$$

From (33), substitute into the pricing formulas in (31) and (32), obtain:

$$p_{1t} = E_t \beta \frac{d_t}{d_{t+1}} (d_{1t+1} + p_{1t+1})$$
(34)

$$p_{2t} = E_t \beta \frac{d_t}{d_{t+1}} \left( d_{2t+1} + p_{2t+1} \right) + \beta \frac{\gamma d_t}{s_{2t+1}}$$
(35)

For  $p_{1t}$ , if assuming a the pricing function have to be of linear form obtain:

$$p_{1t} = k_{1t}d_t \tag{36}$$

From (36), substitute this into (??) to obtain:

$$k_{1t}d_{t} = E_{t}\beta \frac{d_{t}}{d_{t+1}}(d_{1t+1} + k_{1t+1}d_{t+1})$$

$$k_{1t} = E_{t}\beta \underbrace{k_{1t+1}}_{\uparrow} + E_{t}\beta \frac{d_{1t+1}}{d_{t+1}}$$
(37)

 $\implies$  apply recursions on  $k_{1t+1}$ 

$$k_{1t} = E_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j \frac{d_{1t+j}}{d_{t+j}}$$
(38)

From  $d_{2t} = d_{1t} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) d_t \ \forall \ t$ , rewrite (38) to obtain:

$$k_{1t} = \frac{1}{2} E_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j$$
  
=  $\frac{\beta}{2(1-\beta)}$  (39)

For  $p_{2t}$ , if assuming a the pricing function have to be of linear form obtain:

$$p_{2t} = k_{2t}d_t \tag{40}$$

From (40), substitute this into (??) to obtain:

$$k_{2t}d_{t} = E_{t}\beta \frac{d_{t}}{d_{t+1}}(d_{2t+1} + k_{2t+1}d_{t+1}) + \beta \frac{\gamma d_{t}}{s_{2t+1}}$$

$$k_{2t} = E_{t}\beta \underbrace{k_{2t+1}}_{\text{equation}} + E_{t}\beta \left(\frac{d_{2t+1}}{d_{t+1}} + \frac{\gamma}{s_{2t+1}}\right)$$

$$\implies \text{apply recursions on } k_{2t+1}$$

$$(41)$$

$$k_{2t} = E_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j \left( \frac{d_{2t+j}}{d_{t+j}} + \frac{\gamma}{s_{2t+1}} \right)$$
(42)

From the problem,  $d_{2t} = \frac{1}{2}d_t$  and  $s_{2t+1} = 1$  in equilibrium, rewrite (42) to obtain:

$$k_{2t} = E_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right) \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta}$$
(43)

Substituting (39) and (43) into (34) and (34), will obtain the pricing functions:

$$p_{1t} = \left(\frac{\beta}{2(1-\beta)}\right) d_t \tag{44}$$

$$p_{2t} = \left( \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right) \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \right) d_t \tag{45}$$

(d) Prove that if  $\gamma > 0$ , then  $R_{1t} > R_{2t} \forall t$ 

From (5), derive the following definitions for  $R_{1t}$  and  $R_{2t}$ .

$$R_{1t} = \frac{p_{1t+1} + d_{1t+1}}{p_{1t}} \tag{46}$$

$$R_{2t} = \frac{p_{2t+1} + d_{2t+1}}{p_{2t}} \tag{47}$$

Substituting (44) and (45) the pricing functions, into (46) and (46) can rewrite equation for  $R_{1t}$  and  $R_{2t}$  as:

$$R_{1t} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right) d_{t+1} + \frac{1}{2} d_{t+1}}{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right) d_t}$$

$$R_{2t} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right) \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right) d_{t+1} + \frac{1}{2} d_{t+1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right) \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right) d_t}$$

$$(48)$$

$$(49)$$

Analyzing difference in the returns,  $R_{1t}$  and  $R_{2t}$ , is:

$$R_{1t} - R_{2t} = \left[\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} - \frac{1}{\left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}\right]\frac{d_{t+1}}{d_t}$$
$$= \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\left(1 - \frac{1}{1+2\gamma}\right)\frac{d_{t+1}}{d_t}$$
(50)

From (50), if let  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\Longrightarrow$   $R_{1t} - R_{2t} > 0$ . Able to obtain:

$$R_{1t} > R_{2t} \tag{51}$$