

Solve the problem.

- 1) A manufacturer claims that the mean lifetime of its fluorescent bulbs is 1500 hours. A homeowner selects 25 bulbs and finds the mean lifetime to be 1640 hours with a standard deviation of 80 hours. Test the manufacturer's claim. Use $\alpha = 0.05$. Do not use p-value method.

$$\bar{X} = 1640$$

a) Setup hypothesis: $H_0: \mu = 1500 \quad H_a: \mu \neq 1500$

$$t = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1640 - 1500}{80/\sqrt{25}} = \frac{140}{16} = 8.75 \quad S = 80 \quad n = 25 \quad \alpha = 0.05$$

b) Test statistics: $t = 8.75$

$$\text{Critical } t \text{ Test statistics: } t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 24}^* = 1.711 \quad df = 24$$

c) Conclusion: reject null hypothesis
conclude that the mean lifetime is different

- 2) The Metropolitan Bus Company claims that the mean waiting time for a bus during rush hour is less than 8 minutes. A random sample of 20 waiting times has a mean of 6.5 minutes with a standard deviation of 2.1 minutes. At $\alpha = 0.01$, test the bus company's claim. If $\alpha = 0.05$, test the Company's claim using P-values.

a) Setup hypothesis: $H_0: \mu \geq 8 \quad H_a: \mu < 8$

$$\bar{X} = 6.5 \quad S = 2.1 \quad n = 20 \quad df = 19$$

b) p-value method: $0.001 < p < 0.0025$

$$t = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{6.5 - 8}{2.1/\sqrt{20}} = -3.19$$

c) Conclusion: reject null hypothesis
conclude that the mean waiting time has decreased

- 3) Nine students took the SAT. Their scores are listed below. Later on, they took a test preparation course and retook the SAT. Their new scores are listed below. Test the claim that the test preparation had no effect on their scores. Use $\alpha = 0.05$. Assume that the distribution is normally distributed.

Student	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Scores before course	720	860	850	880	860	710	850	1200	950
Scores after course	740	860	840	920	890	720	840	1240	970

-20 | 0 | 10 | -40 | -12 | 10 | -40 | -20 ← difference

a) Find the average difference: (\bar{d}): -15.55

b) Find the standard deviation: (s_d): 19.43

$$H_0: \mu_{\text{before}} = \mu_{\text{after}} \Rightarrow \mu_B - \mu_A = 0$$

c) Setup hypothesis: $H_a: \mu_B \neq \mu_A$

d) p-value method: $0.04 < p < 0.05$

e) Conclusion: Do NOT reject

cannot conclude there is a difference
no effect on SAT scores

$$t = \frac{\bar{d} - 0}{s_d/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{-15.55}{19.43/\sqrt{8}} = -2.40 \quad df = 8$$

10. a.

Mean Square*

Source	df	Year 1		Year 2	
		Spring	Winter	Spring	Winter
Treatment	3	32.55	41.05	193.15	48.65
Error	4	12.99	18.11	12.19	45.31

*Mean square value $\times 10^3$

- b. $F_{max} = 45.31/12.19 = 3.72$, $F_{.05} \text{ max} = 20.6$, $t = 4$, $\nu = 4$
Do not reject hypothesis of equal variances.

c.	Source	df	MS*	E(MS)
	Year (Y)	1	13.61	$\sigma^2 + 16\sigma_y^2$
	Season (S)	1	1,911.01	$\sigma^2 + 8\sigma_{ys}^2 + 16\theta_s^2$
	Treatment (T)	3	257.70	$\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_{ty}^2 + 8\theta_t^2$
	Y \times S	1	94.61	$\sigma^2 + 8\sigma_{ys}^2$
	T \times S	3	15.94	$\sigma^2 + 2\sigma_{tys}^2 + 4\theta_{ts}^2$
	T \times Y	3	28.47	$\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_{ty}^2$
	T \times Y \times S	3	13.27	$\sigma^2 + 2\sigma_{tys}^2$
	Error	16	22.15	σ^2

*Mean square value $\times 10^3$

- d. Season: $F_0 = 1911.01/94.61 = 20.2$, $F(.05, 1, 1) = 161.4$, Do not reject.
 Treatments: $F_0 = 257.7/28.47 = 9.05$, $F(.05, 3, 3) = 9.28$, Do not reject.
 Y \times S: $F_0 = 94.61/22.15 = 4.27$, $F(.05, 1, 16) = 4.49$, Do not reject.
 T \times S: $F_0 = 15.94/13.27 = 1.20$, $F(.05, 3, 3) = 9.28$, Do not reject.
 T \times Y: $F_0 = 28.47/22.15 = 1.29$, $F(.05, 3, 16) = 3.24$, Do not reject.
 T \times Y \times S: $F_0 = 13.27/22.15 = 0.60$, $F(.05, 3, 16) = 3.24$, Do not reject.

Chapter 9

1. a. $\lambda = 1$
 b. $E = 2/3$
 c. $y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \rho_j + e_{ij}$ $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$
 τ_i = fixed temperature effect, ρ_j = fixed block effect
 e_{ij} = experimental error, mean 0, variance σ^2

Source	df	SS	MS
Blocks(unadj)	5	613.66	122.73
Temperature(adj)	3	718.29	239.43
linear	1	677.08	677.08
quadratic	1	7.33	7.33
cubic	1	33.87	33.87
Error	3	41.00	13.67

Test for temperature: $F_0 = 17.51$. $F(.05, 3, 3) = 9.28$. Reject.

- d. means: 26.46, 23.82, 10.07, 3.61; standard error = 2.50
- e. 3.70
- f. See analysis of variance table for SS partitions.
 linear: $F_0 = 49.53$, $F(.05, 1, 3) = 10.13$, Reject.
 quadratic: $F_0 = 0.54$, $F(.05, 1, 3) = 10.13$, Do not reject.
 g. No. F_0 for cubic = 2.48, $F(.05, 1, 3) = 10.13$, Do not reject.
- 3. a. $y_{ijm} = \mu + \tau_i + \rho_j + \gamma_m + e_{ijm}$ $i, m = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$
 τ_i = fixed intersection type effect, ρ_j = fixed observer effect,
 γ_m = fixed city effect,
 e_{ijm} = experimental error, mean 0, variance σ^2

Source	df	SS	MS
Observer	3	146.51	48.84
City(unadj)	6	1,342.07	223.68
Intersection(adj)	6	9,972.46	1,662.08
Error	12	368.85	30.74

- b. 4.19
- c. Plan 9B.5, $E = 0.88$
- d. $d(.05, 6, 12) = 2.58$, $M = 2.58(4.19) = 10.8$
 Select intersection types 2, 3, and 7 with $P(\text{CS}) = 0.95$.
- 5. a. $t = 5, r = 4, k = 4, b = 5$
 b. $\lambda = 3$

Chapter 10

- 1. a. $y_{ijk} = \mu + \tau_i + \gamma_j + \rho_{m(j)} + e_{ijm}$ $i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ $j = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ $m = 1, 2$
 τ_i = variety effect, γ_j = replication effect, $\rho_{m(j)}$ = block within replication effect,
 e_{ijm} = experimental error, mean 0, variance σ^2