Model: A family of distributions $\{P_a: \theta \in \Theta\}.$ PO(B) is prob. of event B when the parameter takes the value O. Po is described by giving a (joint) pdf or pmf f(xl0). Experiment: Observe X~P, O unknown. (data) O, O unknown. Goal: Make inference about 0. Joint distn. of independent rv's: If $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and X1,..., Xn are independent with $x_i \sim pdf g_i(x_i|\theta)$, then joint polf is $f(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} g_i(x_i|\theta)$ $(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)$

Spatial and Space-Repeated measures etc.

Random Sample Models

Example:

$$x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$$
 iid Poisson $(\lambda)_{, \lambda}$ unknown.
Here we have:
 $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$
 $\Theta = \lambda$
 $\Theta = \{\lambda : \lambda > 0\}$
P is described by the joint pmf
 $f(x|\lambda) = f(x_1, ..., x_n|\lambda)$
 $= \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(x_i|\lambda)$ where g is the
Poisson (λ) pmf
 $g(x|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$
for $x = g \cdot b \cdot 2g \cdots$

$$= \prod_{\substack{\lambda = 1 \\ i=1}} \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda}}{\chi e^{-\lambda}} \text{ for } \chi \in \{0,1,2,\ldots\}^n$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\lambda = 1 \\ \lambda e^{-\lambda}}} (=0 \text{ otherwise}).$$

Example: $X_{19}X_{29} \dots X_n$ iid $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with 1,02 unknown. Here we have: $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ $\theta = (\mu_{3}\sigma^{2})$ $\Theta = \{(\mu, \sigma^2): -\infty < \mu < \infty, \sigma^2 > 0\}$ P is described by the joint pdf $f(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i|\mu,\sigma^2)$ $= (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n)$ (where g is the N(μ , σ^2) polf $g(x | \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$ $\frac{1}{2^{-1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-(\chi_1 - \mu)^2/2\sigma^2}$

sufficient Statistics

 $X \sim P_{\Theta}$, Θ unknown. What part (or function) of the data X is essential for inference about 0? Example Suppose X1,..., Xn iid Bernoulli(p). (independent tosses of a coin) Intuitivelyn $T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = \# \text{ of heads}$ contains all the info. about p in data. we need to formalize this. Definition: (X~Pg, Ounknown) The statistic T = T(X) is a sufficient statistic for O if the conditional distr. of X given T does not depend on the unknown parameter O. Abbrev: T is SS if L(XIT) is same for all Θ .

Motivation for the Definition of a Sufficient Statistic

Suppose X~P, OE D, Ounknown. Let T = T(X) be any statistic. We can imagine that the data X is generated hierarchically: \bigcirc First generate $T \sim \mathcal{L}(T)$. 2) Then generate X~L(XIT). If T is a suff. stat. for Θ , then $\mathcal{X}(X|T)$ does not depend on Θ and step (2) can be carried out without knowing O. since, given T, the data X can be generated with out knowing O, the data X supplies no further information about O beyond what is already contained in T.

Notation: $X \land P_{\Theta}, \Theta \in \Theta, \Theta$ unknown. If T = T(X) is suff. state for Θ , then T contains all the info. about Θ in Xin this sense:

If X is discarded, but we keep T=T(X), we can "fake" the data (without knowing Θ) by generating X^* from $\mathcal{L}(X(T))$. X^* has the same distr. as $X (X^* \sim P_g)$ and the same value of the sufficient statistic $(\top(X^*) = \top(X))$ and can be used for any purpose we would use the real data for. Example: If U(X) is an estimator of Θ , then $U(X^*)$ is another estimator of Θ which performs just as well since $U(X) \stackrel{d}{=} U(X^*)$ for all Θ .

<u>Cautionary Remark</u>: 7 X~Po <u>If the model is correct</u> and T(X) is sufficient for 0, then can ignore data X and just use T(X) for inference about 0. BUT if we are not sure the model is correct, X may contain valuable information about model correctness not contained in T(X).

Example: X1,X2,...,Xn iid Bernoulli(p) T = ZXi is suff.stat. for p. Possible model violations: Trials might be correlated. p (Prob. success) might not be constant. etc. These model violations cannot be investigated using the suff.stat. You need the data.

Example:
$$X = (X_1, X_2)$$
 i.i.d. Poisson(λ).
 $T = X_1 + X_2$ is a sufficient
statistic for λ .

•

$$P_{\lambda}(X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}|T=t) = t (if t=x_{1}+x_{2})$$

$$= \frac{P_{\lambda}(X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}, T=t)}{P_{\lambda}(T=t)}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{P_{\lambda}(X_{1}=x_{1},X_{2}=x_{2})}{P_{\lambda}(T=t)} & \text{if } t=x_{1}+x_{2} \\ 0 & \text{if } t\neq x_{1}+x_{2} \end{cases}$$

Remark: In general (discrete) case

$$P_{\Theta}(X = x | T(X) = t)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{P_{\Theta}(X = x)}{P_{\Theta}(T(X) = t)} & \text{if } T(x) = t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(Assume $t = \chi_1 + \chi_2$.)



$$= \frac{\lambda^{t} e^{-2\lambda} / \chi_{1}! \chi_{2}!}{2^{t} \lambda^{t} e^{-2\lambda} / t!} = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ \chi_{1} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2^{t}}$$

which does not involve λ. Thus, T is suff. stat. For λ.

Note that

$$P(X_{i} = x_{i} | T = t) = {\binom{t}{x_{i}}} {\binom{1}{2}}^{x_{i}} {\binom{1}{2}}^{t-x_{i}}$$
for $x_{i} = 0, 1, \dots, t$.

Thus $\mathcal{L}(X, |T=t)$ is Binomial $(t, \frac{1}{2})$. Given T=t, we may generate fake data $(X_{1,9}^* X_2^*)$ (without knowing λ) which has the same distri, as the real data:

() Generate $X_1^* \sim \text{Binomial}(t, \frac{1}{2})$. (Toss a fair coin t times. (Count the number of heads.) (2) Set $X_2^* = t - X_1^*$.

The real and fake data have the same value of the sufficient statistic:

 $X_1 + X_2 = t = X_1^* + X_2^*$.

Extension: If
$$X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$$
 are
i.i.d. Poisson(λ), then $T = X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n$
is a suff. stat. for λ .

Moreover, $P(X_{1}=x_{1},...,X_{n}=x_{n}|T=t) = \underbrace{\frac{t!}{x_{1}!\cdots x_{n}!}}_{(\frac{1}{n})^{t}} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{t}$ $= \begin{pmatrix} t \\ x_{1},...,x_{n} \end{pmatrix} (\frac{1}{n})^{x_{1}} \cdots (\frac{1}{n})^{x_{n}}$

so that $\mathcal{L}(X|T=t)$ is Multinomial with t trials and n categories with equal probability \dot{h} . (See Section 4.6.)

Example:
$$X = (X_{1}, X_{2})$$
 i.i.d. Expon (B).
 $T = X_{1} + X_{2}$ is suff. stat. for β .
We need $Z(X_{1}, X_{2} | T = t)$.
Suffices to get $Z(X_{1} | T = t)$.
How to do this?
() Find joint density $f_{X_{1},T}(x_{1},t)$.
(2) Then get conditional density
 $f_{X_{1}|T}(x_{1}|t) = \frac{f_{X_{1},T}(x_{1},t)}{F_{T}(t)}$.
Step (D): Use the transformation
 $U = X_{1} \implies X_{1} = U$
 $T = X_{1} + X_{2} \implies X_{2} = T - U$
with Jacobian $J = I$
 $f_{U,T}(u,t) = f_{X_{1},X_{2}}(u,t-u) IJI$
 $= \frac{1}{\beta}e^{-\frac{u}{\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta}e^{-(t-u)/\beta} \cdot I$
 $= \frac{1}{\beta^{2}}e^{-\frac{t}{\beta}}$ for $0 \le u \le t < \infty$.

step (2):

$$T = \chi_{1} + \chi_{2} \sim Gamma(\alpha = 2, \beta)$$
so that $f_{T}(t) = \frac{t e^{-t/\beta}}{\beta^{2}}$ for $t \ge 0$.
Alternative integrate over χ_{1} in the joint density $f_{\chi_{1}T}(x_{1},t)$ to get $f_{T}(t)$.
Now

$$f_{\chi_{1}|T}(\chi_{1}|t) = \frac{\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}e^{-t/\beta}I(0 \le \chi_{1} \le t)}{\frac{t e^{-t/\beta}}{\beta^{2}}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{t}I(0 \le \chi_{1} \le t)$$
which does not involve β .
Thus $T = \chi_{1} + \chi_{2}$ is suff. stat. for β .
Moreover, $\mathcal{L}(\chi_{1}|T=t)$ is Uniform(0,t).
(This can be seen intuitively by noting that $f_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(\chi_{1},\chi_{2}) = \frac{1}{\beta^{2}}e^{-(\chi_{1}+\chi_{2})/\beta}$ is constant)

(on the line segment $\{(x_1, x_2): x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0, x_1 + x_2 = t\}$) Thus, given T = t, we may generate fake data $(X_{1,9}^* X_2^*)$ (without knowing β)

which has the same distr. as the real data:

(1) Generate
$$X_1^* \sim \text{Uniform}(0, t)$$
.
(2) Set $X_2^* = t - X_1^*$.

The real and fake data have the same value of the suff. stat: $X_1 + X_2 = t = X_1^* + X_2^*$.

Extension: If $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ iid Expan(β), then $T = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ is suff. stat. for β and $\mathcal{L}(X|T=t)$ is a uniform distr. on the simplex $f(x_1, ..., x_n): x_1 + \cdots + x_n = t$ and $x_i \ge 0 \forall i \}$. Example: $X = (X_1, X_2)$ iid Uniform $(0, \theta)$. $T = X_1 + X_2$ is not suff. stat. for θ . Proof: We must show that Z(X1,X2 IT) depends on Θ . The support of (X_1, X_2) is $[0, \theta]^2$. Given T=t, we know (X_1, X_2) lies on the line $\mathcal{L} = \{ (x_1, x_2) : x_1 + x_2 = t \}.$ Thus, the support of $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2 | T=t)$ is $Z \cap [0, \theta]^2$ which is drawn below for two different values of θ . $7x_1+x_2=t$ Case: 0<t Case: $\theta > t$ The support of 2(X1,X2|T=t) varies with Θ . This shows that $\mathcal{Z}(X_1, X_2|T=t)$ depends on Θ .

$$\frac{\text{Example}:}{\text{If } x_{1}, \dots, x_{n} \text{ id Bernoulli}(p),}$$
then $T = \frac{n}{2} \times_{i}^{2}$ is suff. stat. for p .
First: What is joint pmf of $x = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n})$?

$$P(X_{1} = 1, X_{2} = 0, X_{3} = 1, X_{4} = 1, X_{5} = 0)$$

$$= p \cdot 2 \cdot p \cdot p \cdot 2 = p^{3} 2^{2}$$
where $2 = (-p)$.
In general,

$$P(X = x) = P(X_{1} = x_{1}, \dots, x_{n} = x_{n})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} p^{x_{i}} 2^{1-x_{i}} = p^{\sum x_{i}} 2^{\sum (1-x_{i})}$$

$$= p \text{ if } x_{i} = 1$$

$$= 2 \text{ if } x_{i} = 0$$

$$= p^{2} 2^{n-t} = p^{T(x)} 2^{n-T(x)}$$
where $T(x) = t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$.

What is
$$\mathcal{L}(X|T)$$
?
Notation: $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = T$
 $T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$
Recall that $P_0(X = x | T(X) = t) =$
 $\int \frac{P(X = x)}{P_0(T(X) = t)}$ if $T(x) = t$
 O if $T(x) = t$
Assume $T(x) = \sum x_i = t$. $\Theta = p$
Then
 $P_0(X = x | T = t) = \frac{P_0(X = x)}{P_0(T = t)}$
 $= \frac{P^t 2^{n-t}}{\binom{n}{t} p^t 2^{n-t}} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{t}}$
since $T \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$.

This does not involve p which proves that T is a sufficient statistic for p.

Note: The conditional probability is the same for any sequence $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ with t 1's and n - t 0's. There are $\binom{n}{t}$ such sequences.

Summary: Given $T = X_1 + \cdots + X_n = t$, all possible sequences of t 1's and n - t 0's are equally likely.

Algorithm for generating from $\mathcal{L}(X_1, \ldots, X_n | T = t)$:

Put t 1's and n-t 0's in an urn.

Draw them out one by one (without replacement) until the urn is empty.

This makes all possible sequences equally likely. (Think about it!)

The resulting sequence (X_1^*, \ldots, X_n^*) (the fake data) has the same value of the sufficient statistic as (X_1, \ldots, X_n) :

$$\sum_{i} X_i^* = t = \sum_{i} X_i.$$

Suppose $X \sim P_0$, $\theta \in \Theta$.

Theorem 6.2.2 T(X) is a suff. stat. for Θ $f(x|\Theta)$ is constant iff for all x, $f_{\tau}(\tau(x)|\Theta)$ as a function of θ . Notation: $f_{\chi}(\chi|\Theta)$ is pdf (or pmf) of X. $f_{+}(t|\theta)$ is pdf (or pmf) of T = T(X). Factorization Criterion (FC) There exist functions h(x) and $q(t|\theta)$ such that $f(x|\theta) = g(T(x)|\theta) h(x)$ for all x and θ .

Theorem: T(X) is a suff. stat. for Θ iff the factorization criterion is satisfied. **Example:** Application of Factorization Criterion to random sample from Poisson distribution.

 $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, iid Poisson (λ) .

Joint pmf is

$$f(x \mid \lambda) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n \mid \lambda)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} = \frac{\lambda^{\sum_i x_i} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_i x_i!}$$

$$= \left(\lambda^{\sum_i x_i} e^{-n\lambda}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\prod_i x_i!}\right)$$

$$= g(T(x) \mid \lambda) h(x) \text{ where}$$

$$T(x) = \sum_i x_i, \ g(t \mid \lambda) = \lambda^t e^{-n\lambda}, \ h(x) = \frac{1}{\prod_i x_i!}.$$

Thus (by FC) $T(X) = \sum_{i} X_{i}$ is a sufficient statistic for λ .

This is much easier than proving sufficiency directly from the definition.