# MAT4375 HW#4, 1999

#### © A.R. Dabrowski, 1999

- Problem 9.1
- Problem 9.2
- Problem 9.3
- Problem 9.4
- Problem 9.9

Due to html limitations, ``X- bar" will be noted  $\underline{X}$ . From the fourth edition of Johnson and Wichern

## Problem 9.1

Here

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} .9 \\ .7 \\ .5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } LL' = \begin{bmatrix} .81 & .63 & .45 \\ .63 & .49 & .35 \\ .45 & .35 & .25 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} .9 \\ .7 \\ .5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{\rho} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}' + \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & .63 & .45 \\ .63 & 1 & .35 \\ .45 & .35 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} .19 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .51 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & .75 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### Problem 9.2

(a) From the diagonal of LL' (see also line (9-6) on page 518),  $h_1^2 = .81$ , and the first factor explains 81% of the variance in the data. Similarly,  $h_2^2 = .49$ ,  $h_3^2 = .25$ ,

(b) Using (9-5) on page 517,  $Corr(Z_i,F_1) = l_{i1}$ . Here  $Z_1$  carries the greatest ``weight'' in nameing  $F_1$  since it has the strongest correlation with it among the original  $Z_i$ .

### Problem 9.3

(a) For the m = 1 principal components solution

$$L = \sqrt{1.96} \begin{vmatrix} .625 \\ .593 \\ .507 \end{vmatrix}$$

and

Thus

$$\Psi \cong \begin{bmatrix} .23 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .31 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & .50 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and Resid} \cong \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -.14 & -.17 \\ -.14 & 0 & -.24 \\ -.17 & -.24 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here the m = 1 principal component solution is rather poor. The residual matrix is clearly non-zero, and the other elements poor approximations of the ones found in 9.1.

(b) The proportion of variance explained by the factor is  $(1.96/(1+1+1)) \times 100 = 65\%$ .

#### Problem 9.4

Using  $\Psi$  from 9.1, we compute the reduced correlation matrix  $[(\rho))$ /tilde] =  $\rho$ - $\Psi$ . We need the first principal component of this reduced correlation matrix, and then obtain the corresponding loading vector by (9-22) on page 529. Here  $\lambda_1 = 1.55$  and

$$L_{r}^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & .89 & \\ & .69 & \\ & .50 & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Result is close to that found in 9.1.

#### Problem 9.9

(a) Seems reasonable to me.

(b) I tried a -20<sup>o</sup> rotation;

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} .94 & .34 \\ -.34 & .94 \end{bmatrix}$$

and obtained

$$[F_1, F_2] T = \begin{vmatrix} .59 & .24 \\ .49 & .11 \\ .51 & -.07 \\ -.09 & .75 \\ -.25 & .08 \\ -.53 & .02 \\ -.46 & -.21 \\ -.51 & -.36 \end{vmatrix}$$

This shows  $F_1^*$  to be sweet vs non-sweet, and  $F_2^*$  to be rum and Marc versus the rest. The interpretation stays roughly the same.

File translated from  $T_EX$  by  $\underline{T_TH}$ , version 1.90. On 7 Apr 1999, 10:36.